

MAKING SUBSTITUTIONS EXPLICIT IN SASyLF

Michael Ariotti & John Tang Boyland
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
Wisconsin, USA

What is SASyLF? (part I)

- Second-Order Abstract Syntax Logical Framework
- Interactive proof assistant (via Eclipse plug-in)
- Developed at CMU [Aldrich et al., 2008]
- Maintained by John Tang Boyland at UW-Milwaukee
- SASyLF is open source, available on GitHub

What is SASyLF? (part II)

- Domain: programming languages and type systems
- Educational:
 - Proof code looks much as it would on paper
 - Errors are generated close to the cause
 - Minimal automation

What is SASyLF? (part III)

- Uses the Edinburgh Logical Framework (LF)
(as does Twelf)

[Harper, Honsell, & Plotkin, 1993]

- Proof structure resembles

Schürmann's meta-language M_2^+

[PhD Thesis, 2000]

Example: STLC with Booleans

terminals lam dot true false if then else Bool value

syntax

$t ::= x \mid \text{lam } x:T \text{ dot } t[x] \mid t \ t \mid$
 $\text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } t \text{ then } t \text{ else } t$

$T ::= T \rightarrow T \mid \text{Bool}$

$\Gamma ::= * \mid \Gamma, x:T$

Example: STLC with Booleans

terminals lam dot true false if then else Bool value

syntax

$t ::= x \mid \text{lam } x:T \text{ dot } t[x] \mid t \ t \mid$
 $\text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } t \text{ then } t \text{ else } t$

$T ::= T \rightarrow T \mid \text{Bool}$

$\Gamma ::= * \mid \Gamma, x:T$

judgment typing: $\Gamma \vdash t : T$
assumes Γ

----- T-Var
 $\Gamma, x:T \vdash x : T$

$\Gamma, x:T1 \vdash t2[x] : T2$
----- T-Abs
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{lam } x:T1 \text{ dot } t2[x] : T1 \rightarrow T2$

$\Gamma \vdash t1 : T2 \rightarrow T$
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T2$
----- T-App
 $\Gamma \vdash t1 t2 : T$

----- T-True
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$

----- T-False
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$

$\Gamma \vdash t1 : \text{Bool}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T$
 $\Gamma \vdash t3 : T$
----- T-If
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 : T$

judgment evaluation: $t \rightarrow t$

$t1 \rightarrow t1'$
----- E-App1
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1' t2$

$t1$ value
 $t2 \rightarrow t2'$
----- E-App2
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1 t2'$

$t2$ value
----- E-AppAbs
 $(\text{lam } x:T \text{ dot } t1[x]) t2 \rightarrow t1[t2]$

----- E-IfTrue
 $\text{if true then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t2$

----- E-IfFalse
 $\text{if false then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t3$

$t1 \rightarrow t1'$
----- E-If
 $\text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow \text{if } t1' \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3$

Reference

Definition

Access

```

judgment typing:  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ 
assumes  $\Gamma$ 

----- T-Var
 $\Gamma, x:T \vdash x : T$ 

----- T-Abs
 $\Gamma, x:T1 \vdash t2[x] : T2$ 
----- T-Abs
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{lam } x:T1 \text{ dot } t2[x] : T1 \rightarrow T2$ 

 $\Gamma \vdash t1 : T2 \rightarrow T$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T2$ 
----- T-App
 $\Gamma \vdash t1 t2 : T$ 

----- T-True
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ 

----- T-False
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$ 

 $\Gamma \vdash t1 : \text{Bool}$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t3 : T$ 
----- T-If
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 : T$ 

```

```

judgment evaluation:  $t \rightarrow t$ 

 $t1 \rightarrow t1'$ 
----- E-App1
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1' t2$ 

 $t1$  value
 $t2 \rightarrow t2'$ 
----- E-App2
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1 t2'$ 

 $t2$  value
----- E-AppAbs
 $(\text{lam } x:T \text{ dot } t1[x]) t2 \rightarrow t1[t2]$ 

----- E-IfTrue
 $\text{if true then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t2$ 

----- E-IfFalse
 $\text{if false then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t3$ 

 $t1 \rightarrow t1'$ 
----- E-If
 $\text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow \text{if } t1' \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3$ 

```



```

judgment typing:  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ 
assumes  $\Gamma$ 

----- T-Var
 $\Gamma, x:T \vdash x : T$ 

 $\Gamma, x:T1 \vdash t2[x] : T2$ 
----- T-Abs
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{lam } x:T1 \text{ dot } t2[x] : T1 \rightarrow T2$ 

 $\Gamma \vdash t1 : T2 \rightarrow T$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T2$ 
----- T-App
 $\Gamma \vdash t1 t2 : T$ 

----- T-True
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ 

----- T-False
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$ 

 $\Gamma \vdash t1 : \text{Bool}$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t3 : T$ 
----- T-If
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 : T$ 

```

```

judgment evaluation:  $t \rightarrow t$ 

 $t1 \rightarrow t1'$ 
----- E-App1
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1' t2$ 

t1 value
 $t2 \rightarrow t2'$ 
----- E-App2
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1 t2'$ 

t2 value
----- E-AppAbs
 $(\text{lam } x:T \text{ dot } t1[x]) t2 \rightarrow t1[t2]$ 

----- E-IfTrue
 $\text{if true then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t2$ 

----- E-IfFalse
 $\text{if false then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t3$ 

 $t1 \rightarrow t1'$ 
----- E-If
 $\text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow \text{if } t1' \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3$ 

```

```

judgment typing:  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ 
assumes  $\Gamma$ 

----- T-Var
 $\Gamma, x:T \vdash x : T$ 

 $\Gamma, x:T1 \vdash t2[x] : T2$ 
----- T-Abs
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{lam } x:T1 \text{ dot } t2[x] : T1 \rightarrow T2$ 

 $\Gamma \vdash t1 : T2 \rightarrow T$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T2$ 
----- T-App
 $\Gamma \vdash t1 t2 : T$ 

----- T-True
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ 

----- T-False
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$ 

 $\Gamma \vdash t1 : \text{Bool}$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t2 : T$ 
 $\Gamma \vdash t3 : T$ 
----- T-If
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 : T$ 

```

```

judgment evaluation:  $t \rightarrow t$ 

 $t1 \rightarrow t1'$ 
----- E-App1
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1' t2$ 

 $t1 \text{ value}$ 
 $t2 \rightarrow t2'$ 
----- E-App2
 $t1 t2 \rightarrow t1 t2'$ 

 $t2 \text{ value}$ 
----- E-AppAbs
 $(\text{lam } x:T \text{ dot } t1[x]) t2 \rightarrow t1[t2]$ 
β-reduction

----- E-IfTrue
 $\text{if true then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t2$ 

----- E-IfFalse
 $\text{if false then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow t3$ 

 $t1 \rightarrow t1'$ 
----- E-If
 $\text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow \text{if } t1' \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3$ 

```

Derivation Trees

Example


$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{* , x:\text{Bool} \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-TRUE}}}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}}{\text{T-ABS}} \quad \frac{}{* \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-FALSE}}}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) \text{ false} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-APP}}$$

Case Analysis

$$\frac{\text{Any premises?}}{\text{Gamma} \vdash t : T} \text{T-WHICH?}$$

Derivation Trees

Example


$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{* , x:\text{Bool} \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-TRUE}}}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}}{\text{T-ABS}} \quad \frac{}{* \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-FALSE}}}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) \text{ false} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-APP}}$$


Case Analysis

$$\frac{\text{Any premises?}}{\text{Gamma} \vdash t : T} \text{T-WHICH?}$$

Derivation Trees

Example

$$\frac{\frac{}{*, x:\text{Bool} \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{T-TRUE} \quad \frac{}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}} \text{T-ABS} \quad \frac{}{* \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{T-FALSE}}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) \text{ false} : \text{Bool}} \text{T-APP}$$


Case Analysis

$$\frac{\text{Any premises?}}{\text{Gamma} \vdash t : T} \text{T-WHICH?}$$

Derivation Trees


Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{* , x:\text{Bool} \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-TRUE}}}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}}{\text{T-ABS}} \quad \frac{}{* \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-FALSE}}}{* \vdash (\text{lam } x:\text{Bool} \text{ dot } \text{true}) \text{ false} : \text{Bool}}{\text{T-APP}}$$

Case Analysis

Any premises?
Gamma \vdash t : T T-WHICH?

A case for each rule
possibly applied last



Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

SASyLF

```
theorem preservation:
  assumes Gamma
    forall d: Gamma |- t : T
    forall e: t -> t'
    exists Gamma |- t' : T.
  use induction on d
  proof by case analysis on d:
  case rule
    ----- T-True
    _: Gamma |- true : Bool
  is
    proof by contradiction on e
  end case
  case rule
    d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
    d2: Gamma |- t2 : T
    d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
    ----- T-If
    _: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
  is
    proof by case analysis on e:
    case rule
      ----- E-IfTrue
      _: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
    is
      proof by d2
    end case
  ...
```

Pierce-like

SASyLF

Inputs

Outputs

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

theorem preservation:

assumes Gamma

forall d: Gamma |- t : T

forall e: t -> t'

exists Gamma |- t' : T.

use induction on d

proof by case analysis on d:

case rule

----- T-True

_: Gamma |- true : Bool

is

proof by contradiction on e

end case

case rule

d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool

d2: Gamma |- t2 : T

d3: Gamma |- t3 : T

----- T-If

_: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T

is

proof by case analysis on e:

case rule

----- E-IfTrue

_: if true then t' else t3 -> t'

is

proof by d2

end case

...

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

SASyLF

theorem preservation:

assumes Gamma

forall d: Gamma |- t : T

forall e: t -> t'

exists Gamma |- t' : T.

use induction on d

proof by case analysis on d:

case rule

----- T-True

_: Gamma |- true : Bool

is

proof by contradiction on e

end case

case rule

d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool

d2: Gamma |- t2 : T

d3: Gamma |- t3 : T

----- T-If

_: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T

is

proof by case analysis on e:

case rule

----- E-IfTrue

_: if true then t' else t3 -> t'

is

proof by d2

end case

...

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

SASyLF

```
theorem preservation:
```

```
assumes Gamma
```

```
forall d: Gamma |- t : T
```

```
forall e: t -> t'
```

```
exists Gamma |- t' : T.
```

```
use induction on d
```

```
proof by case analysis on d:
```

```
case rule
```

```
----- T-True
```

```
_: Gamma |- true : Bool
```

```
is
```

```
proof by contradiction on e
```

```
end case
```

```
case rule
```

```
d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
```

```
d2: Gamma |- t2 : T
```

```
d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
```

```
----- T-If
```

```
_: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
```

```
is
```

```
proof by case analysis on e:
```

```
case rule
```

```
----- E-IfTrue
```

```
_: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
```

```
is
```

```
proof by d2
```

```
end case
```

```
...
```

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

SASyLF

theorem preservation:

assumes Gamma

forall d: Gamma |- t : T

forall e: t -> t'

exists Gamma |- t' : T.

use induction on d

proof by case analysis on d:

case rule

----- T-True

_: Gamma |- true : Bool

is

proof by contradiction on e

end case

case rule

d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool

d2: Gamma |- t2 : T

d3: Gamma |- t3 : T

----- T-If

_: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T

is

proof by case analysis on e:

case rule

----- E-IfTrue

_: if true then t' else t3 -> t'

is

proof by d2

end case

...

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

SASyLF

```
theorem preservation:
  assumes Gamma
    forall d: Gamma |- t : T
    forall e: t -> t'
    exists Gamma |- t' : T.
  use induction on d
  proof by case analysis on d:
  case rule
    ----- T-True
    _: Gamma |- true : Bool
  is
    proof by contradiction on e
  end case
  case rule
    d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
    d2: Gamma |- t2 : T
    d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
    ----- T-If
    _: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
  is
    proof by case analysis on e:
    case rule
      ----- E-IfTrue
      _: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
    is
      proof by d2
    end case
  ...
```

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

SASyLF

```
theorem preservation:
  assumes Gamma
    forall d: Gamma |- t : T
    forall e: t -> t'
    exists Gamma |- t' : T.
  use induction on d
  proof by case analysis on d:
  case rule
    ----- T-True
    _: Gamma |- true : Bool
  is
    proof by contradiction on e
  end case
  case rule
    d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
    d2: Gamma |- t2 : T
    d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
    ----- T-If
    _: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
  is
    proof by case analysis on e:
    case rule
      ----- E-IfTrue
      _: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
    is
      proof by d2
    end case
  ...
```

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

SASyLF

```
theorem preservation:
  assumes Gamma
    forall d: Gamma |- t : T
    forall e: t -> t'
    exists Gamma |- t' : T. ← Need this
  use induction on d
  proof by case analysis on d:
  case rule
    ----- T-True
    _: Gamma |- true : Bool
  is
    proof by contradiction on e
  end case
  case rule
    d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
    d2: Gamma |- t2 : T ← Have this
    d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
    ----- T-If
    _: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
  is
    proof by case analysis on e:
    case rule
      ----- E-IfTrue
      _: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
    is
      proof by d2 ?
    end case
  ...
```

SASyLF

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

```
theorem preservation:
  assumes Gamma
    forall d: Gamma |- t : T
    forall e: t -> t'
    exists Gamma |- t' : T.
  use induction on d
  proof by case analysis on d:
  case rule
    ----- T-True
    _: Gamma |- true : Bool
    where t := true
    and T := Bool
  is
    proof by contradiction on e
  end case
  case rule
    d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
    d2: Gamma |- t2 : T
    d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
    ----- T-If
    _: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
    where t := if t1 then t2 else t3
  is
    proof by case analysis on e:
    case rule
      ----- E-IfTrue
      _: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
      where t1 := true
      and t2 := t'
    is
      proof by d2
    end case
  ...
```

SASyLF

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

```
theorem preservation:
  assumes Gamma
    forall d: Gamma |- t : T
    forall e: t -> t'
    exists Gamma |- t' : T.
  use induction on d
  proof by case analysis on d:
  case rule
    ----- T-True
    _: Gamma |- true : Bool
    where t := true
    and T := Bool
  is
    proof by contradiction on e
  end case
  case rule
    d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
    d2: Gamma |- t2 : T
    d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
    ----- T-If
    _: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
    where t := if t1 then t2 else t3
  is
    proof by case analysis on e:
    case rule
      ----- E-IfTrue
      _: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
      where t1 := true
      and t2 := t'
    is
      proof by d2
    end case
  ...
```


SASyLF

Pierce-like

THEOREM [PRESERVATION]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

This case cannot occur, because the term `true` does not evaluate.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$ $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$ $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

There are three rules by which `t = if t1 then t2 else t3` can evaluate: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Each rule must be addressed in its own case.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true}$ $t_2 = t'$

The term t_1 must be `true` in this case, which means t' is the same as t_2 . Furthermore, the type of t_2 is known to be T , from a subderivation of t 's typing derivation for this case.

...

```
theorem preservation:
  assumes Gamma
    forall d: Gamma |- t : T
    forall e: t -> t'
    exists Gamma |- t' : T.
  use induction on d
  proof by case analysis on d:
  case rule
    ----- T-True
    _: Gamma |- true : Bool
    where t := true
    and T := Bool
  is
    proof by contradiction on e
  end case
  case rule
    d1: Gamma |- t1 : Bool
    d2: Gamma |- t2 : T
    d3: Gamma |- t3 : T
    ----- T-If
    _: Gamma |- if t1 then t2 else t3 : T
    where t := if t1 then t2 else t3
  is
    proof by case analysis on e:
    case rule
      ----- E-IfTrue
      _: if true then t' else t3 -> t'
      where t1 := true
      and t2 := t'
    is
      proof by d2
    end case
  ...
```

SASyLF “Where” Clauses

- In the SASyLF proof code
- Optionally required
- Verified by the system
- Verification separate from the proof system

Future Work

- Usability study
- “Where” clauses for inversions
- Auto-generate the clauses

Conclusion

- SASyLF is an educational proof assistant
- Certain substitutions arise through the course of a proof, which were previously hidden to the SASyLF user
- “Where” clauses, our latest contribution, make these substitutions explicit, and are verified by the system
- SASyLF is open source and available at

`http://github.com/boyland/sasylf`